

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/596,114	05/31/2006	Takeshi Horie	09792909-6708	2228	
26263 SONNENSCH	7590 07/14/200 IEIN NATH & ROSEN	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 061	080	SZEKELY	SZEKELY, PETER A		
WACKER DR CHICAGO, II	IVE STATION, SEAR . 60606-1080	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		1796			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/14/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/596,114	HORIE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Peter Szekely	1796		

	Peter Szekely	1796						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 29 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i		FIRST REPLY WAS FII	LED WITHIN TWO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date wave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period real under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any pely received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the company of the company of the comp	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed)</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c  NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).					
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 13-18.22-32 and 35-44.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
O. C. Outon								

/Peter Szekely/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Yoshida et al. disclose the addition of phosphorus containing flame-retardants in paragraph 0025. The results of applicants are not unexpected since neither of the references use all three flame-retardant ingredients in their examples. Improvement upon the addition of an extra flame-retardant is not unexpected. The addition of an additional flame-retardance. The substitution of the non-metallic nitric or nitrous acid compounds of Yoshida et al. is obvious, since the system of Yoshida et al. is effective with saturated polymers.